	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/975,907	BERMAN, LYLE	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alex P. Rada	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not includ will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>8/11/04</u> .	•		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>38-52</u> .			
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on <u>12 October 2001</u> are accepted by the	e Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" 	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply	national stage applica	
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER		NOTICE OF
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		ation is deficient.	
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus		049) attached	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		-948) attached	
 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☒ to Paper No./Mail Date <u>Janua</u>. (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date 		Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			e back) of
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			Note the
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal F	,,	O-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da	te	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 			
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	 8. ⊠ Examiner's Statem 9. □ Other 	ent of Reasons for All	owance
		D-45	•
	SIIP	DERRIS H. BANK PERVISORY PATENT E	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		ECHNIOLOGY CENTER	

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed August, 11, 2004 in which the applicant has previously canceled claims 1-37, amends claims 38-39, 41, 45-47, and 49, adds new claim 52, and claims 38-52 are pending in this office action.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art does not disclose nor teach the one or more machines dealing, sequentially, multiple dice rolls utilizing multiple separate decks in order to provide for continuous play. The dealing and continually reshuffling a single deck makes sense in game where statistical significance can be minimized based on the unique combinations associated with game such as blackjack or Pai Gow. In the claimed invention, the statistical variation is provided based on the probability of a certain outcome utilizing a completely randomized result, which requires reshuffling on every occasion. The prior art teaches the use of multiple decks being dealt by one or more machines sequentially in order to give continuous play where one deck can be reshuffled while another machine deals or where a machine could deal multiple hands before reshuffling the multiple decks from which one car from each deck is dealt at a time and using multiple locations receiving cards from multiple decks. However, part of the reason that the prior art works so poorly is, if the decks are not maintained separately, then the absence of a single card can eliminate a range of results. For example, the absence of ones would prevent a 2 or a 3 from being possible. The absence would not effect a game like blackjack, however in the present invention it is critical in the decision making process in a wager based on the 2 or the 3 appearing and hence constant

reshuffling is necessary in a craps type game. This statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not imply that all the reasons for allowance have been set forth.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700